



## Evaluation Report for the project: HighTempMeter

### TOTAL SCORE

**TOTAL Score:** ..... (Threshold: 4/5.00 , Weight: 100.00%)

### Criterion 1: IMPACT

**Score (Impact):** ..... (Threshold: 4/5.00 , Weight: 100.00%)

The proposal indicates in a convincing way that there will be demand/market (willing to pay) for the innovation when the product/solution is introduced into the market

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

The targeted users or user groups of the final product/application, and their needs, are well described and the proposal provides a realistic description of why the identified groups will have an interest in using/buying the product/application, compared to current solutions available

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

The proposal demonstrates very good understanding of the needs for a realistic and relevant analysis of market conditions, total available market size and growth rate, competitors and competitive solutions and key stakeholders, or includes a plan for achieving this information

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

It is described in a realistic and relevant way how the innovation has the potential to boost the growth of the applying company

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

The proposal demonstrates the alignment with the overall strategy of the participating SME(s) and the need for commercial and management experience, including understanding of the financial and organizational requirements for commercial exploitation. The initial commercialisation plan is outlined and explains how will be further developed (in-house development, licensing strategy, etc)

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

The innovation /solution has a clear European dimension both with respect to commercialisation and with respect to competitor / competition evaluation

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

The proposal includes a realistic and relevant description of status and strategy of knowledge protection, the need of "freedom to operate analysis", and current IPR situation, which could include a plan for achieving this information. If relevant, potential regulatory requirements are also addressed.

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

Overall perception including other pertinent factors not covered by the above questions (25% weight in the assessment of this criterion)

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

## Criterion 2 - Excellence

**Score (Excellence): ..... (Threshold: 4/5.00 , Weight: 100.00%)**

**The innovation aims at exploring new market opportunities addressing EU/global challenges**

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

The proposal provides a realistic description of the current stage of development and added value of its innovation as well as an understanding of the competing solutions. Includes good comparison with state-of-the-art, known commercial solutions, including costs, environmental benefits, gender dimension , ease-of-use and other features, or includes plans for achieving this information

**Note: In relation to the project content, e.g. gendered studies, clinical trials, etc**

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

**The objectives for the feasibility study and the approach and activities to be developed are consistent with the expected impact of the project**

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

**The expected performances of the innovation are convincing and have the potential to be relevant from a commercial point of view (Value for money). It is potentially better than alternatives**

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

**The proposal reflects a very good understanding of both risks and opportunities related to a successful market introduction of the innovation, both from a technical, commercial point of view**

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

**Overall perception including other pertinent factors not covered by the above questions (25% weight in the assessment of this criterion)**

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

### Criterion 3: QUALITY and EFFICIENCY of IMPLEMENTATION

**Score (Implementation): ..... (Threshold: 4/5.00 , Weight: 100.00%)**

The proposal demonstrates that the project has the relevant resources (personal, facilities, networks, etc.) to develop its activities in the most suitable conditions. If relevant, describes in a realistic way how key stakeholders / partners / subcontractors could be involved

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

Taking the project's ambition and objectives into account, the proposal includes a realistic time frame and a comprehensive description of work

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

The team has relevant technical/scientific knowledge/management experience, including a good understanding of the relevant market aspects for the particular innovation. If relevant the proposal includes a plan to acquire missing competences

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

Overall perception including other pertinent factors not covered by the above questions (25% weight in the assessment of this criterion)

<i>Insufficient</i> (0 - 1.49)	<i>Insufficient to Fair</i> (1.5- 2,49)	<i>Fair to Good</i> (2.5 - 3.49)	<i>Good to Very Good</i> (3.5 - 4.49)	<i>Very Good to Excellent</i> (4.5 -5.0)
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------