



Technical Assistance for Turkey in Horizon 2020 Phase-II
EuropeAid/139098/IH/SER/TR

# Turkey in Horizon 2020 II

FGT Green Deal

(Energy, Transport, Sustainable Chemistry)

Proposal writing as a project: how to organize time and resources

Grigoris Chatzikostas
Training Coordinator







## Main aims of the session



To present the development of a H2020 proposal as a project management assignment



To introduce the "Lean" approach to proposal writing




To guide participants through 11+1 steps for management of proposal writing








# Lean approach to proposal writing












# Lean approach to proposal writing









# 11+1 Steps for Management of Proposal Writing









# Step 1: Check Idea against Call





#### Relevance

What are you trying to do? Is it exactly what the call asks? Did you check every word? Is there something you don't understand? Did you check the FAQ section?



## **Available Budget**

How many projects are going to be funded? Expected project budget?



## Competition

Previous relevant projects? Key players?

Don't even start preparing a proposal without satisfactory answers to the above questions! It will be a waste of time and resources...







# **Step 2: Minimum Viable Content**




The Minimum Viable Content (MVC) is a **strategy** used for fast and simultaneous testing of a proposal idea. It is an iterative process of idea generation, presentation, feedback collection, analysis and learning.

### Pitch Presentation

- Easier than writing when you
- don't have everything clear yet
- Visual content helps for describing to the others
- Especially helpful when it's a multidisciplinary project and your partners have various backgrounds



Works better in live meetings or telcos



## Concept Note

- Better for receiving concrete feedback
- More appealing to official settings and partners with whom you work for the first time



Works better in email communication









## **Step 2: Minimum Viable Content**


### Pitch Presentation

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY
AND TECHNOLOGY





#### KATANA Work Plan



#### **KATANA Proposed partners' roles**

Partner	Туре	Country	Work Packages						
			WP1 WP2	WP3	WP4	WP5	WP6	WP7	WP8
bwcon	ICT	DE	x			X	х	х	х
AgroBusiness Park	ICT, Agrifood	DK				х	х	х	х
EFFOST	Agrifood	NL				x	X	X	x
Food +I	Agrifood	ES				х	х	х	х
VOICT	ICT	SRB				x	х	х	x
Organic Products Cluster	Agrifood	GR				х	х	х	х
Polo TP	ICT, Agrifood	IT				х	X	х	х
BioSense UNS	ICT, RTD	SRB	х		X			х	х
CrowdAhead	Crowdfunding	UK	X		х				х
KPAD	Agrifood	UK		х				х	х
InoSens	ICT, Agrifood	SRB		х				х	х
Mobile apps SME?	ICT	?		х				х	х

X: WP Leader X: WP Participant





## **Step 2: Minimum Viable Content**

## Concept Note



#### **FRACTALS**

**Future Internet Enabled Agricultural Applications in Balkans** 



#### A. General information

Call identifier: FP7-2013-ICT-FI Publication date: 28 June 2013

Deadline: 10 December 2013 at 17:00:00 (Brussels local time)

Call topic: FI.ICT-2013.1.8 Expansion of Use Case

Funding Scheme: CP-CSA

Special Requirements: At least 80% of the project budget should be reserved for open calls for SMEs

and web-entrepreneurs.

EC contact person: Arian Zwegers, Arian.Zwegers@ec.europa.eu, +(32) 229 84424

#### B. Background

- 1. The overall goal of the Future Internet Public-Private Partnership (FI-PPP) programme is to place Europe in a better position towards capturing the opportunities, in terms of both economic growth and well-being that will arise as a result of further digitalization in a number of economy sectors. Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the FI-PPP initiative have materialized, tested and validated significant investments in infrastructures such as Generic Enablers (GEs) and Specific Enablers (SEs, in a wide range of application domains including manufacturing, health, content, energy, transport, logistics, and agri-food and have involved a wide spectrum of actors and stakeholders, both ICT and non-ICT. The purpose of Phase 3 is to capitalize on the abovementioned investments and developments by supporting ICT SMEs and Web entrepreneurs in exploiting those investments and developing value added applications.
- 2. Smart farming systems based on ICTs are expected to play an important role in improving farming activities. During the past years, sophisticated farm management systems have emerged to replace outdated complex and monolithic farm systems and software tools. The latest trend is to enable these management systems to operate over the Internet. However, the Internet, in its current operation form, faces a number of shortcomings especially in handling vast numbers of networked devices (i.e., Internet of Things) or allowing a simplified integration of systems and services developed by different players (Kaloxylos et al, 2012).

#### C. Proposed project

Tentative Title: Future Internet Enabled Agricultural Applications in Balkans

Tentative Acronym: FRACTALS

FRACTALS envisions to support the community of innovative ICT SMEs and Web Entrepreneurs of Balkan countries to harvest the benefits of FI infrastructure, by developing applications with high market potential, addressing the needs of the regional agricultural sector. This support is going to be multi-dimensional in the sense that it aims to span beyond grant assistance to also include the mapping of end-users (farmers) needs, the technical capacity building of ICT SMEs and web entrepreneurs with respect to developing applications based on FI infrastructures and the testing and validation of applications in an open innovation context (by involving end users in the testing/ validation assignment through a Living Labs environment)

#### **Objectives:**

- To identify the needs and challenges of the agricultural sector in Balkans, through an extensive problem sourcing campaign, taking into account the insights from farmers.
- To frame a strategy for addressing those needs challenges through ICTs in general and FI technologies in particular
- To issue and effectively manage the full cycle of an open call addressed to ICT SMEs and Web Entrepreneurs of the Balkans region, under principals of equal treatment, transparency and fast delivery of quality services
- To widely disseminate the call to the respective entrepreneurial communities, ensuring a broad participation of potential beneficiaries and a high quality of proposed projects
- To train and support successful grant candidates in a wide spectrum of fields, from the incorporation of FI infrastructures (created during phases 1&2 of FI PPP) to the interaction with users
- To provide a framework (Living Lab) where developers will interact with end users (farmers) during testing and validation of the applications
- To encourage beneficiaries in developing synergies and offer complimentary services based upon the developed applications across the entire value chain of agricultural ICTs
- To maximize the capitalization and visibility of FI infrastructure in the Balkans region and connect the SMEs eco-system with the European FI community







# Step 3: Internal Support



Compliance with the R&I agenda of your organization



Approval of hierarchy (official procedure might be needed )



Support from other colleagues and internal supporting structures (if any)

Make sure ON TIME that you will have support internally BEFORE starting to prepare the proposal and contact partners to save time and resources and protect your credibility in the network







# Step 4: Proposal Preparation Team



The ideal proposal writing team needs a person that:



Has comprehensive technical understanding



Is very fluent and accurate in English



Has ability to **think** through detail and spot problems



Has great **imagination** and ability to see opportunities




...BUT ALSO -and most important- someone who can lead, motivate, coordinate and monitor all the above, dealing (very often) with conflicting agendas in a fair and effective way







## **Step 4: Proposal Preparation Team**





#### Tips to ENGAGE people:

- ✓ Assign roles and provide leadership in WP leaders
- ✓ Engage as many organizations/ people as possible
- ✓ Balance with internal and external team members









# **Step 5: Consortium building**



## Previous collaboration and Friendship

It is ALWAYS good to work with people you already know and trust BUT! **Avoid inviting people just because they are your friends**... They must fit and add value to the project



## Big and successful stakeholders AKA "Big sharks"

Sometimes it is necessary to include key players or "big" names BUT! Keep in mind that those partners may try to take advantage of you and the rest of the consortium...



## Value chain and geographical coverage

Make sure that your consortium **captures the entire value chain** as required by the call and has sufficient geographical coverage

**BUT!** Don't involve partners just because they come from high profile countries...







# **Step 5: Consortium building**

Find the right people ... to work with for the next 2-4 years










# **Step 6: Material Collection**

Background material database will help proposal writing team to save time and develop content in an effective and credible way.

- ☐ Collect only relevant and useful material
- ☐ Engage everyone in the team, provide access and ask for contribution
- ☐ Save for future use










# **Step 7: Feedback collection**










# Step 7: Feedback collection (Proposal)

Figure 5 shows the development stages and main peccyarc which will be acomplished in each of them. It also outlines the main outputs from Phase 1 of GATE project.



#### Figure 5 GATE Methodology

The main CoE research, innovation, business and operation strategies, as well as Year 1 concrete Action Plans, have been elaborated during GATE Teaming Phase 1 which enables fast and targeted start-up of the activities in Phase 2. In addition, vendors of appliances and software have been identified to ensure shorter procedure for setting-up the infrastructure enabling research, innovation and education activities. They are also committed to supply for free the main technologies to serve as basis of the platform and the Labs. A number of pilot projects have been identified and negotiated with respective stakeholders and will become GATE flagships. More than 100 organizations have been involved in GATE ecosystem.

#### Grigorios Chatzikostas

The diagram is very well organized and makes sense. In order to make it a bit bore informative, bellow the first row (grey with phases) I would add another row explaining in one sentence the mission of each phase, something like, for PREPARATION: Detailed planning and organizational design, INTITATION: CoEl alunch and traction generation, GROWTH: Acceleration of development and expansion of scope MATURATION: Sustainability and growth for the post-Teaming period

#### Grigorios Chatzikostas

The narrative from this point until the end of the chapter is super long and loose. Please consider shortening and adding tables bullet points or same small diagram in between.







# Step 7: Feedback collection (Budget)

Dear Grigoris,

Thanks for the information and I know this is always a challenging task, but I'm sure that we can deal with it in the end.

So their thoughts were that they at least need the current proposed PMs for the overall data market but require an additional 15 PMs for individual support.

Furthermore, the WDCC – and datahubs behind that – were also complaining, but I think every data hub/platform in the end will need more resources, so let's give them not too much.

Finally, there was still a small error in the avg rate for WR which should be 7640; this was already communicated to Maja.

Now what I propose is that we move the relatively small number of PMs for WP3 and WP5 we had to WP2 so that the data hubs (WDCC) have some more resources. I don't think it is efficient to have few PMs in a WP.

Furthermore I propose to top-up WP4 to 45 PMs

I already reduced 'my' budget a bit in WP2 and 6, but necessary I am willing to give up my role as scientific coordinator to make it work for WP4.

Please, see attached the concrete counter proposal from our side.

I know that this will be difficult to accomplish because I expect that others will come with similar requests, but I think I explained it is not just about the money as such but that there are clear and solid arguments behind it.







# **Step 8: Work Allocation**



- "The one who will implement, writes"
- Inform everybody upfront what is their assignment and deadlines

STRATEGY

Secure commitment



- Introduce teams
- Appoint team leaders
- Explain expectations

**COLLABORATION** 



- Ambitious yet achievable
- Not only time bound but also related to quality
- "Ask a lot, but take what is offered", Russian Proverb

**TARGETS** 



- Telcos on regular basis
- 1 Physical meeting max. (b. COVID-19COMMUNICATION
- Increased intensity closer to deadline







# **Step 8: Work Allocation**

	_							
Section	Topic	Responsible	Expected No of pages	Assigned to	Contributors	Due date	status	Qu lev
	Abstract							
introduction	Infographic	Grigoris	1	Milan				
1.1.1	Background and Vision	Grigoris	1,5	Stavros		22/02		
1.1.2	Project objectives	Grigoris	1,5	Stavros	All Partners			
	Technological Objectives	Grigoris			UBITECH			
	Business Objectives	Grigoris			WR			
	Scientific and Innovation Objectives	Grigoris			All Partners			
	User engangememt objectives	Grigoris			ILVO			
1,2	Relation to the WP	Grigoris	2	Dragana	All Partners			
1.3.1	Overall concept underpinning the project (plus diagram)	Grigoris	1	Grigoris				
1.3.1.1	Main Challenges to be tackled (eg. Legal, technical, monetization etc)	Grigoris	1	Grigoris				
1.3.1.2	Technological Approach and Developments	Grigoris	3	UBITECH				
1.3.1.3	Description of the Use Cases/Challenges + Stakeholders Description (Data Hubs and FMIS)	Grigoris	3	ILVO	All Stakeholders (Data Hubs and FMIS)			
1.3.1.4	Data Governance	Grigoris	1	Maastricht University				
1.3.1.5	Business Modeling	Grigoris	1	WR				
1.3.2	Position of the project	Grigoris	1	Stavros				
1.3.3	National and international projects linked with proposal	Grigoris	1	Dragana				
1.3.4	Overall methodology (Lean Startup - Multi-actor Approach)	Grigoris	1	Grigoris				
1.3.5	Gender Aspects	Grigoris	0,5	Stavros				
1.4.1	Progress beyond state-of-the-art	Grigoris						
1.4.1.1.	Progress beyond state-of-the-art - Technologies	Grigoris	1	ИВІТЕСН	All Stakeholders (Data Hubs and FMIS)			
1.4.1.1.	Progress beyond state-of-the-art - Business Models	Grigoris	1	WR	All Stakeholders (Data Hubs and FMIS)			
1.4.2	Innovation potential	Grigoris	1	Stavros				
2.1.1	Expected strategic impact	Stavros	1,5	Grigoris				
2.1.2	Expected impact according to the work programme	Stavros	6	Nikos Marianos	All Partners			







# Step 9: Write in Iterations

Why?



To get feedback faster and more efficient from as many people as possible

To secure consensus on key aspects of the proposal

To spot inconsistencies, overlaps or conflicts and tackle them on time

To demonstrate progress to the team, acknowledge contributions and maintain/increase engagement







## Step 9: Write in Iterations

How?





- Rest of Section 1
- Measures tomaximize impact
- Partners profiles

- SFMINI 04
- Budget
- Graphs and text formatting

Management

- Concept
- Objectives
- Work packages description
- Methodology
- Impact
- State-of-theart
































**01. PROS** 

**Private 1-to-1 negotiations** 

**01. PROS** 

**Open negotiation** 

- More effective
- Reduces drama
- Coordinator can keep better control



01. PROS

- More transparent
- Everybody feels engaged
- Partners contribute in workload



01. PROS

02. CONS 💢

- **02. CONS**
- Triggers backroom politics
- Raises complains (sometimes)
- More work for the coordinator



**02. CONS** 

- It can boost drama
- Time before deadline is precious
- Expertise and attitude are crucial








# **Step 11: Finalize and Submit**

Respect the page limits – Write only what is essential!



1st stage 10 pages RIA 70 pages CSA 50 pages

EIC Accelerator

30 pages







# **Step 11: Finalize and Submit**



### Ц

#### DOs

- Ask different people to check the whole text
- Make sure formatting is according to specs
  - Make sure .pdf looks like word document
- Look at all questions in the platform upfront so that you have answers

DOWNLOAD AND CHECK AFTER YOU SUBMIT!

#### DON'Ts

Keep the proposal in separate files

Use different computers/ versions of software

Forget to fill in all tables and Sections

Submit the last moment of the deadline

MAKE IMPORTANT CHANGES IN THE LAST MOMENT

TIP: Start making trial submissions a couple of days before the deadline to test the system, BUT make sure that at the end you have the correct version uploaded!







# Step 12: Celebrate with your team









