

Technical Assistance for Turkey in Horizon 2020 Phase-II

EuropeAid/139098/IH/SER/TR

Practical aspects of CA and MGA

Information Multipliers System Training (IMS-4)

Adamantios Koumpis

Online, 31 Mar 2021

Photo by Christian Lue on Unsplash











Structure and format

- 1. Introduction and 'warm up' (3 mins)
- 2. A case study: Seeing things in reverse order: from the project conclusion to its start (7 mins)
- 3. Some good practices to follow (7 mins)
- 4. Final remarks and take away messages (3 mins)











1. Introduction

- In theory, practice is simple
- In theory, there is no difference between theory and practice. But, in practice, there is...
- MGA: we simply follow the rules if we don't like them, it may be too late to change them!
- (But it is still good to be aware of them! Many organisations are not aware of them at all...)
- CA: here we can define the rules and the conditions there are many degrees of freedom!











1. Introduction (cont'd)

Two worlds apart:

- 1. Legal and admin experts from the one side
- 2. Scientists and researchers on the other side

Both worry for different aspects:

- The first care to avoid 'problems' and organise work in assembly lines
- 2. The second ones care to have their project starting asap, financing arriving to hire people for work in the project











2. A case study

- It is about a recently completed IA project
- Project ended by August 2020
- Was undoubtedly a success
- It also resulted in the set up of a spin-out company
- Is there anything to worry about?
- Devil is in the details
- Beware the fine print!











2. A case study (cont'd)

5.2 Limitations of contractual liability

No Party shall be responsible to any other Party for any indirect or consequential loss or similar damage such as, but not limited to, loss of profit, loss of revenue or loss of contracts, provided such damage was not caused by a wilful act or by a breach of confidentiality.

Thank you and best regards, Anna

Contact:

Legal Matters Relating to Research and Transfer











2. A case study (cont'd)

- Does it mean it was not a good project?
- Not at all quite the opposite!
- The independent reviewers mentioned in the Final Consolidated Review Report:
- '... project has delivered exceptional results with significant immediate or potential impact'
- '... formation of spin out company for further exploitation'
- '... expected impact is high'











3. Good practices to follow

Some conditions to cope with proactively:

- 1. Which background knowledge each party shall use within the project? *To be stated clearly!*
- 2. Which foregrounds shall be built during the project lifetime and by whom? <u>To be stated</u> <u>clearly!</u>
- 3. How foregrounds shall be shared after the project terminates? *To be stated clearly!*
- 4. How shall use of individual background IPs shall evolve / affect exploitation of the project results? *To be stated clearly!*

In our case:

- 1. Background IPs were mentioned but were *not very relevant*
- 2. Foregrounds were related to Deliverables there, responsibilities are not clear –blurry ownerships
- 3. Regarding the use of foregrounds after the project terminates *still open issues*
- Same also regarding the use of individual background IPs after the project terminates still open issues

In short: we plan for the marriage but not for the divorce











3. Good practices (cont'd)

- Is it easy to keep track on everything? Yes!
- Assets developed and used in the project can be continuously monitored
- Why is this difficult?
- Because from the two worlds apart in the beginning, there become now three:
- Technical personnel may exhibit either a culture of sharing or the opposite of
- 2. Project management personnel 'does the splits' between solving problems and remedying symptoms to avoid going deep in the details
- 3. Legal and admin personnel of the institutions is not actively involved in the project developments (and prefers to do so)











4. Final remarks & take away messages

- The new proposal template in Horizon Eurpe and the impact canvas may provide the means for better identifying the various assets ('Expected results' & 'Outcomes')
- Responsibilities and ownership can be from an early on stage decided and defined
- One can still keep their rose-colored glasses while also leaving relatively little space for future misunderstandings
- Inherent difficulty: proposals are written under the state of enthusiasm: over promising and under delivering.
- All worth to try the opposite: under promising and over delivering











For follow-up questions contact me at:

• adamantios.koumpis@gmail.com











Q&A

Time to ask your questions!







Teşekkür ederim!

Thank you!







