Topics to cover:

- The template for IMPLEMENTATION
- A suggested process flow – and variations on it
- Questions – concerns – worries to take care after

Truths, myths (and legends…)

- Was for many years considered as the second important section of a proposal AFTER the Excellence (Section 1)

- People spent unimaginably much time to design – negotiate – develop – fine-tune the WorkPackages

- WorkPackages were like small 'kingdoms' of the times of feudalism

- There were also 'wars' between them – or within them (amongst the Task leaders of a WP…)

- Teams spent sometimes so much time on it, and details of Tasks and Deliverables, as if they were taking for granted that their proposal would be funded

- Many times the links between Section 1 and Section 3 were not as well taken care after – lots of loose ends like innovations declared and promised in Section 1 that were forgotten to be given a 'place' in Section 3, etc.

New vs OLD:

- Two sections instead of four in the OLD templates
- 3.1 Work plan and resources *[e.g. 14 pages – including tables]*
- 3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole *[e.g. 3 pages]*
- *A reason for celebration:*
- *No more OLD Section 3.2: Management structure and decision-making*
- OLD *Section Consortium as a whole is now 3.2 and upgraded to 3 pages (in the past, the practice was … ½ page of generalities…)*
- *New Section 3.1 contains* OLD *Section 3.1 and* OLD *Section 3.4*

Section 3.1: Work plan and resources *[e.g. 14 pages – including tables]*

- Section 3.1 same as in the OLD template

- Plus:

- Lists of milestones and critical risks that were part of Section 3.2 in the OLD template

- Table showing number of personmonths and financial information, justifications for 'other costs categories' that were part of Section 3.2 in the OLD template

- All in all: no surprises here!

3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole *[e.g. 3 pages]*

- This section is an evolution of Section 3.3 in the OLD template ('Consortium as a whole')

- The OLD Section 3.3 ended up in containing aesthetically not convincing maps of Europe and some rather … spacey tables

- Now more sense-making information has to be included

3.3 Consortium as a Whole

MARIO assembles a multidisciplinary consortium well dimensioned to carry out the work program and achieve the intended impact. It is unlikely that a national consortium

Profile	Robotics	Health	Ethics	Software	Semantics	End User	Products	Consulting	Main Role(s)
SME	■			■			■		Robot System Developer. Customization and support of Kompai R&D Platform. Global Sales.
SME	■			■			■	■	Robot Control and System Integrator. Technical Director and Liaison to EU Clustering.
SME	■						■		Software developer. Host and developer of the Robot Application (RAPP) Store.
SME			■					■	Telecommunication ICT developer. Oversees communication aspects of platforms and pilots.
SME				■				■	Exploitation consulting company. Handles IP, partner agreements, impact management.
NP		■				■			Hospital and end user. Proponents of service robots and leading research in CGA and MPI.
Gov		■							Municipality and end user. Proponent of service robots for social services
RTD		■			■	■			Nursing, ICT, Ethics and Project Coordinator. Leading research team in Resilience and Dementia.
RTD			■						Leading research unit on treatment of personal data and its structure, security, and usabilty
RTO	■			■					Robotics and Semantics labs. Robot behavior, machine learning and semantics

3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole *[e.g. 3 pages]* *(cont'd)*

- Describe the consortium.
- How does it match the project's objectives, and bring together the necessary disciplinary and inter-disciplinary knowledge.
- Show how this includes expertise in social sciences and humanities, open science practices, **and gender aspects of R&I**, as appropriate.
- Show how the partners will have access to critical infrastructure needed to carry out the project activities.
- Describe how the members complement one another (and cover the value chain, where appropriate)
- In what way does each of them contribute to the project? Show that each has a valid role, and adequate resources in the project to fulfil that role.
- If applicable, describe the industrial/commercial involvement in the project to ensure exploitation of the results and explain why this is consistent with and will help to achieve the specific measures which are proposed for exploitation of the results of the project (see section 2.2).
- Other countries and international organisations: If one or more of the participants requesting EU funding is based in a country or is an international organisation that is not automatically eligible for such funding , … explain why the participation of the entity in question is essential to successfully carry out the project.

For follow-up questions contact me at:

- adamantios.koumpis@gmail.com

Q&A

Time to ask your questions!

Teşekkür ederim!

Thank you!

Contact:

Office Address

Turkey in Horizon 2020 Project

And Sokak 8/12 Akasya Apt. 06680 Çankaya/Ankara

06520 Çankaya/Ankara,Turkey

Tel: +90 312 467 61 40

http://www.turkeyinh2020.eu/

info@TurkeyinH2020.eu

REPUBLIC OF TURKEY
MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY
AND TECHNOLOGY